



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,207	05/12/2006	Ilikka Jutila	TUR-180	7817
32954	7590	03/17/2009	EXAMINER	
JAMES C. LYDON			HICKS, VICTORIA J	
100 DAIINGERFIELD ROAD				
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,207	JUTILA, ILKKA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VICTORIA HICKS	3772	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) VICTORIA HICKS. (3) Patricia Bianco.
 (2) James Lydon. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant's representative presented a video of the invention in use, comparing the invention to known devices in use.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: DeWeerd (US D442,688).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 was discussed in detail. Changes to the claim language were discussed to further specify the structure of the invention and add functional language to the claim. Proposed amendments to claims 1 and 2 were discussed. The prior art applied by the examiner in previous rejections was briefly discussed..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VICTORIA HICKS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772	/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772
---	---